UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ZAN KORBA, Plaintiff, vs. THE HARTFORD UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,) Case No. 2:12-cv-01391-GMN-PAL) ORDER)
Defendants.)))

Before the court is the parties' Stipulation and Protective Order (Dkt. #13), which the court approved to facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006); *see also* LR 10-5(b).

Local Rule 10-5(b) provides:

Unless otherwise permitted by statute, rule or prior Court order, papers filed with the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those documents under seal, and shall be filed in accordance with the Court's electronic filing procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the papers shall bear the following notation on the first page, directly under the case number: "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED ______." All papers filed under seal will remain sealed until such time as the Court may deny the motion to seal or enter an order to unseal them, or the documents are unsealed pursuant to Local Rule.

Id. Documents filed under seal are not accessible to the public.

The court has approved the parties' blanket protective order to facilitate their discovery exchanges. However, the parties have not shown, and court has not found, that any specific documents are secret or confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by affidavits or

Case 2:12-cv-01391-GMN-PAL Document 15 Filed 11/19/12 Page 2 of 2

concrete examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to non-dispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access. *See Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public access. *Id.* at 1180.

IT IS ORDERED that the parties shall comply with the requirements of LR 10-5(b), and the

IT IS ORDERED that the parties shall comply with the requirements of LR 10-5(b), and the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, with respect to any documents filed under seal. Dated this 19th day of November, 2012.

PEGGY AZEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE